

Introduction to Open-Path Transmissometry as a Surrogate Measure of Ambient PM at Cattle Feedyards

> Brent Auvermann, Naruki Hiranuma, Kevin Heflin and Gary Marek Texas A&M University System Amarillo/Canyon, TX

Meeting the Environmental Challenges of Texas' Livestock and Poultry Industries with Engineering, Education and Professional Development



Difficulties Posed by Ambient PM_x Monitoring

- 1. Labor-intensive, esp. in research mode
- 2. Time resolution amplifies labor issue
- 3. Size-selective inlets not aerodynamically robust
- 4. PM_x not an intuitive concept to the masses
- 5. Not well suited to spatially chaotic plumes

What We Want

- 1. Reduce labor requirements;
- 2. Permit time-resolved measurements;
- 3. Avoid biases of inertial, size-selective inlets;
- Deliver an intuitive and reliable surrogate for PM_x as a dust measure; and
- 5. Integrate measurement along a line transverse to plume drift

Candidate Methods

• <u>TSP + PSD = PM_x</u>

- Best suited for regulatory applications where MMD>>x
- Assumes PSD method is accurate in AED ranges of interest
- Does not reduce labor intensity

<u>Tapered-Element Oscillating Microbalance</u>

- Time-resolved data
- Still a point measure
- Still has S-SI bias problem

Light Detection And Ranging

- Won't be long until it's affordable! (maybe)
- Can shoot a plume approximately in 3-D
- This one got us thinking about optical methods

Where Doth Transmissometry Fit?

• Potentially:

- ✓ Reduces labor requirements;
- Permits time-resolved measurements;
- Avoids biases of inertial, size-selective inlets (sort of);
- ? Delivers an intuitive and reliable surrogate for PM_x as a dust measure; and
- Integrates measurement along a line transverse to plume drift

Caveat

Like substitutes for dynamic, forcedchoice olfactometry, visibility data have little regulatory meaning *without being anchored to the accepted methods*:

Odor: Human panelists PM_x: Federal Reference Methods

Motivation (Reprise)

• We're NOT interested in:

- Shouting matches (or worse) over "preferred methods"; NOR
- Slaying Sacred CowsTM
- We ARE interested in:
 Adding to the monitoring toolkit for agricultural PM
 - Visibility measurements for their own sake

Principles of Visibility

- Image strength can be attenuated by reflection, refraction, absorption
- Contrast is modified by wavelength dependence of attenuating processes
- Instruments can differentiate among scattering processes, but...
- ...our eyes and brains respond to the integration of those processes

Components of Total Atmospheric Extinction

Rayleigh (Molecular) Scattering Transmitter $I_R(x) = \frac{kI_o}{x^2} e^{-\alpha_R x}; \lim_{P \to 0} \alpha_R = 0$ $I_{T}(x) = \frac{kI_{o}}{2} e^{-\alpha_{T}x}; \alpha_{T} = f(C_{PM}, C_{gas}...)$ Transmitter

Molecular and Particle Scattering and Absorption

Transmissometry Equation

 $f = \left\{ \frac{k_{j} I_{o,j}}{r^{2} I_{j}(r)} \right\}^{1/r} = e^{-\alpha_{j}}$

Koschmieder Equation

VR = 3.912

X



Extinction Efficiency of Air Pollutants

Units: $L^{-1} L^3 M^{-1} = L^2 M^{-1}$

 $\partial \alpha_T$

 ∂C

Extinction efficiencies by particle type (Malm, 1999)

Particle Type	Dry Extinction Efficiency (m ² /g)
Sulfates	3.0
Organics	3.0
Elemental Carbon	10.0
Nitrates	3.0
Soil Dust	1.25
Coarse Particles	0.6
Feedyard Dust	????

Objectives

- Determine the open-path length at which one can measure the maximum extinction coefficient associated with severe feedyard dust events
- 2. Optimize path lengths and gain settings for a two-transmissometer system to measure worst-case to Rayleigh conditions
- 3. Determine the extinction efficiency of feedyard dust





Two-Transmissometer Concept



Total Extinction (1/km)

Progress to Date

- Successfully calibrated the LPV-3 in the feedyard setting
- Successfully measured stable extinction values downwind of a commercial yard
- Predicted optimum path lengths from theory for two-unit system
- Rain, rain, go away!



Downwind Extinction Coefficient, Feedyard C April 23, 2004



What Are the Remaining Challenges?



On flat terrain, elevations of transmitter and receiver must sum to 30' per km of path length

Depth of dust plume (50') limits us to about 3.0-3.6 km path length

Physical dimensions of feedyards limit us to 1.5-2.0 km path length

Acknowledgments



Texas Cattle Feeders Association

Center for Agricultural Air Quality Engineering and Science





USDA-CSREES Special Research Grant

