ODOR MITIGATION FOR CONCENTRATED ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS

Prepared by:

John M. Sweeten, Texas A&M University
Larry D. Jacobson, University of Minnesota
Albert J. Heber, Purdue University
David R. Schmidt, University of Minnesota
Jeffery C. Lorimor, Iowa State University
Philip W. Westerman, North Carolina State University
J. Ronald Miner, Oregon State University
Ruihong H. Zhang, University of California-Davis
C. Mike Williams, North Carolina State University
Brent W. Auvermann, Texas A&M University

Acknowledgement

The authors appreciated the funding provided by USDA - Cooperative States Research, Education, and Extension Service (CSREES), under the guidance of Dr. Richard O. Hegg, to the 16-state National Center for Manure and Animal Waste Management, North Carolina State University, which is under the leadership of Dr. Frank J. Humenik. We would also like to acknowledge the valuable comments and suggestions of the following peer reviewers: Dr. James A. Moore, Bioresource Engineering Department, Oregon State University; Dr. Dennis D. Schulte, Department of Biological Systems Engineering, University of Nebraska; and Dr. Ronald E. Lacey, Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, Texas A&M University. The following air quality engineers also contributed to sections of this report: Dr. Calvin B. Parnell and Dr. Jacek Koziel, Texas A&M University. The prior (2000) work of the USDA Agricultural Air Quality Task Force in compiling literature and information on several types of air quality parameters related to the livestock feeding industry provided a valuable starting point for the development of this white paper on odor mitigation. The extraordinary patience of Ms. Shelly Pearce in preparing numerous drafts and the final manuscript is expressly appreciated.

Current Status

Odor from Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs)

- □ CAFOs affect air quality through emissions of odor, specific odorous gases (odorants), odorcarrying particulates (including organic, inorganic and biological particulate matter), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs).
- ☐ Odor from CAFO sources as experienced by humans is the composite of 170 or more specific gases in trace concentrations.
- □ Odorous gases of primary concern often include: hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) and VOCs, including volatile fatty acids.
- ☐ Odor research in the field and laboratory has largely focused on measuring concentrations in terms of dilutions to threshold (odor units per

cubic meter) and odor intensity based on category or reference scaling

Emission Characteristics

- ☐ Data on odor/odorant emission rates, flux and emission factors are seriously lacking.
- □ Systematic efforts have not yet been initiated to develop accurate emission factors for odorous gases (VOCs, H₂S, etc.) that properly represent CAFOs in the United States. These factors are needed to develop science-based permitting and abatement policies.

Human Response

□ Odor from CAFOs can cause physiological or psychological health responses with regard to (a) frequently exposed neighbors at high concentrations and (b) certain people with particular sensitivities for whom the health effects are of greater concern.

Current Federal and State Policies	and target the development of control technolo-
☐ Federal and State policies regarding CAFOs primarily have addressed water quality protec-	gies accordingly. ☐ Determine relationships among odor, odorants
tion from point sources under the federal Clean Water Act and equivalent state statutes; how-	and particulates.
ever, only in a few cases have these policies addressed odor and odorants.	☐ Develop effective, practical and economically feasible odor control technologies for confined animal facilities, manure and wastewater
Integrated Mitigation Programs	treatment, and land application systems.
☐ Approaches to control odor and odorants include: ration/diet modification, manure treatment, capture/treatment of emitted gases,	☐ Develop innovative air treatment processes for confinement building exhausts and treatment systems (e.g., lagoon surfaces).
and enhanced dispersion. Each of these mitiga- tion approaches includes several specific	Odor Dispersion
technologies.	☐ Develop accurate dispersion models for odor,
☐ A particular CAFO may require implementation of one, two or more approaches in order to meet the environmental quality demands of the area in which it is located.	odorants and PM appropriate to specific types of CAFOs, addressing the inherent problems of Gaussian models, in order to characterize odor intensities, concentration, frequency and/or duration as a function of distance from CAFOs.
Research and Technology Transfer Needs and Opportunities	Technology Development and Transfer to Producers
Odor Measurement and Assessment	☐ Develop and implement interagency programs
	of research, education and technical assistance
☐ Develop accurate standardized measurement technologies for odor and odorants of principal	to address odor and other air quality issues from CAFOs.
concern and ensure these systems become widely available for research, demonstration and regulatory efforts.	☐ Develop and deliver effective, reliable and economically viable odor control/mitigation technologies to CAFO producers.
☐ Direct future monitoring efforts toward determining those odorous gases that most closely correlate with odor as perceived by humans.	☐ Implement cooperative industry/agency/university programs for practical-scale scientific evaluation of innovative technologies or new
☐ Develop electronic measurement devices that eventually may be correlated with human	products for producers' consideration and adoption.
perception of odor.	Odor and Potential Health Concerns
Odor Emissions	☐ Assess potential relationships between odor,
☐ Develop accurate and broadly applicable odor/ odorant emission rates, flux and emission factors applicable to CAFOs in the United States.	odorants, constituent concentrations, emission flux, emission factors, downwind distribution and potential health indicators and devise appropriate mitigation strategies accordingly.
☐ Define odor/odorant emission rates as a function of diurnal, seasonal and climatic variations as well as design and management practices.	☐ Identify potential health concerns associated with odor/odorants from CAFOs, and develop suitable acceptability criteria for community-
Odor Control	level exposure to odor and specific associated
☐ Identify kinetic release mechanisms for odorants and odor from principal manure sources	The full text of the White Papers is available for \$25 from Midwest Plan Service, http://www.mwpshq.org/